

(quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)); see Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677–83 (2009); Coleman v. Md. Court of Appeals, 626 F.3d 187, 190 (4th Cir. 2010), aff'd, 132 S. Ct. 1327 (2012); Nemet Chevrolet, Ltd. v. Consumeraffairs.com, Inc., 591 F.3d 250, 255–56 (4th Cir. 2009); Francis v. Giacomelli, 588 F.3d 186, 193 (4th Cir. 2009).

Banks's complaint is incoherent. A district court has "the inherent authority . . . to ensure that a plaintiff has standing, that subject matter jurisdiction exists, and that a case is not frivolous." Gibson v. NSA, No. 6:15-132-HMH-JDA, 2015 WL 1519970, at *2 (D.S.C. Mar. 12, 2015) (unpublished) (collecting cases), R&R adopted, 2015 WL 1520000 (D.S.C. Mar. 30, 2015) (unpublished); see Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 307–08 (1989).

In sum, the court DISMISSES the action as frivolous. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This 13 day of March 2017.



JAMES C. DEVER III
Chief United States District Judge